

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



United States Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/601,912	12/01/2000	Vasilios Kanellopoulos	6-1034-040	5277
803	7590 10/29/2002			
HENDERSON & STURM LLP 1213 MIDLAND BUILDING 206 SIXTH AVENUE			EXAMINER WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I	
			1714	9
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mk-9
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/601,912	KANELLOPOULOS ET AL.
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Katarzyna W. Lee	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet will	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination	_	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	. ,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•	·
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bits * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/601,912

Art Unit: 1714

Page 2

In the light of the applicant's amendment mailed on August 9, 2002, the following final office action has been necessitated:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following 112 indefinite rejections of record are not overcome:

Claim 3, the definition of "n". The examiner knows very well, what the n stands for, however; what it encompasses, i.e., the number of repeat units is another thing. Such term, if used in the claims has to be defined.

The Abstract has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 5-7, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iimuro (US 5,123,349).

Art Unit: 1714

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of Iimuro from paragraph 6 of the previous office action mailed on 4/10/2002 is incorporated here by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 8-11, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over limuro (US 5,123,349) in view of Kane (US 5,736,619).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of limuro and Kane from paragraph 10 of the previous office action mailed on 4/10/2002 is incorporated here by reference.

In the amendment filed on 8/9/2002 the applicant argued the following:

a) The prior art of limuro indicates the preparation process of a resin composition as a generation thing from the reaction.

With respect to the above argument, the examiner does not understand what the applicant means by "thing" and therefore will not address the argument at this point.

b) The prior art of limuro indicates reaction of silanol groups with phenolic resin however, there is no recitation of term "at least part of the phenolic groups...."

Application/Control Number: 09/601,912

Art Unit: 1714

With respect to the above argument, if the prior art of limuro indicates the reaction, then at least one phenolic group has to react. One group is still part of the phenolic resin. The term part is implied. The fact that the reacted sites will not be available for water absorption is inherent, since they have already reacted with the silanol.

c) By mere polymeric composition of limuro it was thought that the use of friction materials was difficult.

With respect to the above argument, the fact that a lot of research goes into the invention may be the case, but the fact remains that the prior art of limuro teaches polymeric composition for friction materials.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,912

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna W. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5875. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

KIWL October 28, 2002

EDWARD J. CAIN PRIMARY EXAMINER _GROUP 1500()